Antonio Machado’s ‘Profession of Faith’

*BULLETIN OF HISPANIC STUDIES, LXIV, 2 (April 1987)*

Posted at: [http://www.armandfbaker.com/publications.html](http://www.armandfbaker.com/publications.html)

One of the most important expressions of Antonio Machado’s metaphysical thought prior to the publication of the *Cancionero apócrifo* is found in *Campos de Castilla*, in ‘Profesión de fe’, which is the fifth in a series of poems entitled ‘Parábolas’. The sixth poem of this series, ‘El Dios que todos llevamos’, serves as a sort of addendum to the ideas contained in ‘Profesión de fe’. Although both poems are often mentioned by those who are interested in Machado’s religious views, perhaps because they contain several passages which are difficult to interpret, none of the critics whom I have consulted has made a thorough study of either poem. I have also found that there is considerable disagreement with regard to what Machado was trying to say. In spite of the title ‘Profesión de fe’, a majority of those who have commented on these poems feel that they show the poet’s lack of religious faith; others feel that Machado’s ‘profession’ is authentic.¹

Since the one hundredth anniversary of his birth in 1975, the number of books and articles devoted to the study of Machado’s work has been truly amazing. In spite of his enormous popularity and the fact that his work has been the object of many excellent critical studies, I am both frustrated and perplexed by the frequent misunderstanding of his religious and philosophical thought. A substantial majority of those who have studied his work have concluded that he is an atheist, or at least an agnostic, who does not believe in a supreme being, nor in life after death. Aside from the difficulty which many critics have in separating their own beliefs from those of the writer whom they are studying, I feel that there are two major causes for the misunderstanding of Machado’s thought. The first is that since Machado was not a Catholic who believed in the official dogma of the established Church, many writers have interpreted his lack of orthodox belief as a rejection of all religious faith.² The second is that Machado was a pantheist who believed in the essential unity of God and the universe; although some critics have recognized this fact, many have not taken it into account when they attempt to understand his metaphysical writings.

It is not my intention here to make a detailed study of Machado’s pantheism, but before I examine his ‘Profesión de fe’, it will be helpful to summarize his thinking briefly. When the apocryphal philosopher Juan de Mairena discusses a pantheistic concept of reality, he states that ‘la metafísica de mi maestro lo era en sumo grado’.³ By way of explanation he asks us to imagine ‘una teología, sin Aristóteles, que conciba a Dios como una gran conciencia de la cual fuera parte la nuestra’ (OPP, 530). And not only is God thought of as a sort of universal consciousness of which we are all part; in the *Cancionero apócrifo* Machado’s other apocryphal author, Abel Martín, also defines God as the totality of all that is: ‘En la teología de Abel Martín es Dios definido como el ser absoluto’ (OPP, 336). God is not the creator of the world, since the world is merely an aspect of the divinity. As Machado
puts it: ‘Dios no es el creador del mundo, sino el ser absoluto, único real, más allá del cual nada es’ (OPP, 349-50).

Since Machado was born into the conservative environment of Spanish Catholicism, one might ask how and when he became acquainted with these unorthodox ideas. In 1883, shortly after his family transferred their residence from Seville to Madrid, Machado began to study at the Institución Libre de Enseñanza, where he came in contact with the ideas of the German philosopher, Karl Christian Friedrich Krause. Krause’s philosophy was a type of pantheism, which he called *panentheism*, and in the only Spanish translation of his writings, he also refers to God as ‘el Ser absoluto’.

Like traditional pantheistic thought, Krause’s *panentheism* teaches that everything exists and lives in God, ‘fuera del cual nada es ni tiene realidad, y en el cual son esenciados y fundados todos los seres finitos’. Since Machado was undoubtedly acquainted with the idea of ‘el mundo en Dios’ long before his first poems began to appear in print, it is with justification that Pablo de A. Cobos has written that ‘no hay poema, ni en Machado, ni en Mairena, ni en Martín que no se pueda inscribir en esquema panteísta, o panenteísta’. And since it is also clear that Machado’s ‘Profesión de fe’ is based on a pantheistic concept of God, it is within this framework that I will now discuss the poem.

‘Profesión de fe’ appeared for the first time in 1912, in the first edition of *Campos de Castilla*:

> Dios no es el mar, está en el mar; riela como luna en el agua, o aparece como una blanca vela; en el mar se despierta o se adormece. Creó la mar, y nace de la mar cual la nube y la tormenta; es el Criador y la criatura lo hace; su aliento es alma, y por el alma alienta. Yo he de hacerte, mi Dios, cual tú mehiciste y para darte el alma que me diste en mí te he de crear. Que el puro río de caridad, que fluye eternamente, fluya en mi corazón. ¡Seca, Dios mío, de una fe sin amor la turbia fuente! (CXXXVII, v, OPP, 226-27).

As the poem begins, Machado makes a distinction between God and the sea. In many poems of this period the sea is a symbol of the unknown, the veil which obscures our knowledge of the ultimate reality, as in the words from ‘Proverbios y cantares’ (CXXXVI, xv): ‘de arcano mar vinimos, a ignota mar iremos’(OPP, 215). On other occasions, the sea also represents the totality of manifested being, as in those poems where the poet compares his life to a drop of water in the sea ‘gota / de mar, en la mar inmensa’ (XVIII, OPP, 77), and ‘gota / de mar en el mar inmenso’ (CXXXVI, xlv, OPP, 222). In ‘Profesión de fe’ we find that the image of the sea can be interpreted in both ways. When Machado states that God is not the sea, but is rather *in* the sea, he is referring to the pantheistic concept that, while God’s infinite Being is not limited to or identical with the manifested universe, He is present in all that exists as...
the ground of its being. Since God is more than what exists, it could also be that the sea—the manifested universe—represents the unknown that veils or hides the ultimate reality which is its source. (The idea that physical existence serves to hide the ultimate reality is similar to the oriental concept of *maya*, with which Machado was quite familiar.) God is not the unknown, nor is His being limited to the manifested universe. However, we can still experience His presence (intuitively), as a reflection of the ultimate reality—‘como luna en el agua’—or as a distant glimmer of purity—‘como una vela blanca’. As the totality of all that is, God has two modes of being: pure unmanifested being which is dormant or inactive—‘se adormece’; and being which has been manifested or actualized—‘se despierta’.

When Machado states that God created the sea, it may be, as some writers have suggested, that he is using the same imagery which he employs in the *Cancionero apócrifo* and in later poems, where he refers to God as the creator of ‘la Nada’. There he states that God created *la Nada*—nothingness or non-being—when he gave us the ability to form logical concepts which can never encompass His divine reality.

However, if in lines five and six the sea is equivalent to a state of nothingness, it is difficult to see how it could then give birth to the divinity, as is stated in the words: ‘Creó la mar, y nace de la mar...’. Also, there is the problem of why the poet uses the masculine form of ‘el mar’ in the first four lines of the poem, but then changes to the more poetic feminine form ‘la mar’ in lines five and six. In other places Machado uses either the masculine or the feminine form more or less interchangeably, but not necessarily in the same poem. Since he changes gender here, it may be a sign that the meaning of the symbol is somewhat different. Perhaps Machado uses the feminine form to indicate that the sea represents, not the unknown, but rather the totality of manifested being. According to the pantheistic conception of reality, God ‘created’ the universe through the manifestation of His own substance; the universe is an emanation from God, just as the drops of water which form the cloud are an ‘emanation’ from the sea. And as the universe enters into a state of activity (like the cloud that evolves into a storm), God awakens or is born, as the essence of all that exists: ‘Creó la mar, y nace / de la mar cual la nube y la tormenta...’. The act of God, who gives birth to Himself through the manifestation of His own substance, introduces the circular pattern which will be the basis for several other important images in the poem.

This brings us to the crucial point which has been the focus of most, if not all of the negative interpretations of the poem and, by extension, of Machado’s entire religious and philosophical thought. I am referring to the lines which state:

\[ \text{es el Criador y la criatura lo hace...} \]

and:

\[ \text{Yo de hacerte, mi Dios, cual tú me hiciste} \\
\text{y para darte el alma que me diste} \\
\text{en mí te he de crear...} \]

It is this part of the poem which has caused a majority of the critics to conclude that, for Machado, God is only an invention of his imagination, a product of wishful thinking, which has no objective existence outside of his own mind. Antonio Sánchez Barbudo’s opinion is
representative of those who have interpreted Machado’s words in this way; referring to the above-quoted lines, he writes:

> Esto tiene poco o ningún sentido, si tomamos sus palabras literalmente. Si cree en un Dios creador, consciente, fuera del mundo y de nosotros, entonces lo único razonable sería ponerse en su manos, adorarle; y ninguna necesidad tendría de hacerle, de crearle. En cambio, si no cree en Él, o al menos no está nada seguro de su existencia, y sólo sabe que se encuentra él aquí, en el mundo, esperando la muerte, entonces tiene mucho sentido, sí, buscando salvación, querer ‘crearle’, inventarle: querer divinizar la propia conciencia. Y ésta es realmente la posición de Machado, y por eso dice ‘he de hacerte’. No creía él en Dios, aunque diga aquí ‘mi Dios’; no creía en un Dios consciente, creador, aunque diga ‘tú me hiciste’. La confusión—que es confusión a propósito, buscando efecto—proviene de llamar ‘Dios’, Dios creador, a lo que no es en verdad sentido como tal.¹²

On the other hand, some other critics who have taken into account Machado’s pantheistic view of reality have expressed an opposite point of view. Once it is recognized that God is all that is, it is possible to see that each creature contributes to the divine substance—each is a *co-creator* with God—through his or her own existence. A good example of this point of view is found in the words of Bernard Sesé:

> De cualquier modo, en el pensamiento del poeta, nunca se llega a negar o repudiar a Dios por completo. Se diría que Machado tiene un conocimiento intuitivo de Dios, conocimiento difuso e insólito, del que da testimonio, por ejemplo, el poema titulado ‘Profesión de fe’... En él expresa el poeta una idea que le es grata: el mundo es un aspecto de la divinidad: Dios participa de la esencia de toda criatura; el hombre debe recrear en sí, descubrir, inventar la parte de Dios que lo habita, en un impulso de amor puro, de caridad en el sentido profundo de la palabra.¹³

The poet’s own brother José takes a similar view, when he refers to this act of creation as an attempt to awaken the God within: ‘El camino para llegar a Dios—ya lo dice el Poeta—es lograr crearlo en uno mismo, despertando al que llevamos ya en el fondo del alma’.¹⁴ To awaken the God within is, in fact, to begin the task of purifying the self, with the hope that we may someday be as pure as He made us: ‘Yo de de hacerte, mi Dios, como tú me hiciste’.

Thus it is that when the poet speaks of giving back to God the soul which he was given in the beginning—‘para darte el alma que me diste’—he expresses his desire to become one with the Creator. God’s essence constitutes the foundation of each soul—‘su aliento es alma’—and just as we have our being in God, He also exists or lives through each soul—‘por el alma alienta’. Finally, Machado’s longing to experience this oneness is again reflected in his desire to attune himself to God, so that he may be a channel for the everlasting current of divine love: ‘Que el puro río / de caridad que fluye eternamente, / fluya en mi corazón. ¡Seca, Dios mío, / de una fe sin amor la turbia fuente!’.

As we examine the entire poem, we become increasingly aware of the reciprocal relationship between the Creator and that which is created, or as Machado puts it in the *Cancionero apócrifo*: ‘el ser que todo lo es al serse a sí mismo’ (OPP, 330). This inevitable result of Machado’s pantheistic philosophy is seen five times in the course of the poem:
1. creó la mar —> nace de la mar.
2. es el Criador —> la criatura lo hace.
3. su aliento es alma —> por el alma alienta.
4. yo he de hacerte —> cual tu me hiciste.
5. para darte el alma —> que me diste.

The circular pattern suggested by each of these groups of phrases reinforces the poet’s request for unity with the divine spirit. The image of the circle is also a universal symbol of oneness, which emphasizes the notion that all things are part of the Gran Todo that is God. Furthermore, the circle is implicit in the pantheistic concept that all being has its origin, and its ultimate destiny in the Divinity, as it is stated by Krause when he describes the central theme of his philosophy: ‘la idea de Dios como Dios y Ser Supremo sobre el mundo, y fundamento de la vida, en quien toda vida finita tiene su fuente y tendrá su plenitud última’ (Ideal de la humanidad para la vida, 248).

Our study of Machado’s profession of faith is not complete, however, until we also examine what he has to say in the poem which follows. In this short composition, which appears for the first time in the 1917 edition of Campos de Castilla, the poet offers a restatement of the main theme of ‘Profesión de fe’:

El Dios que todos llevamos,
el Dios que todos hacemos,
el Dios que todos buscamos
y que nunca encontraremos.
Tres dioses o tres personas
del solo Dios verdadero. (CXXXII, vi, OOP, 227).

Those who see this poem as another declaration of the poet’s lack of belief have based their comments primarily on the phrases ‘el Dios que todos hacemos’ and ‘el Dios que todos buscamos / y que nunca encontraremos’, which they interpret as a further indication that for Machado the search for God is futile, since He has no existence outside of our desire. Little effort is made to explain what the poet means by the phrase “el Dios que todos llevamos” and, surprisingly, none of those who see this poem as a statement of non-belief has even mentioned the last two lines where Machado speaks of ‘el solo Dios verdadero’. Once again Sánchez Barbudo’s words are representative of those who interpret the poem this way:

[El poeta] habla de un Dios puramente inmanente, dentro de nosotros... Un Dios que ‘buscamos’, dice Machado—verdadero buscador de Dios, y, en este sentido, verdaderamente religioso—pero que, afirma muy rotundamente, ‘nunca encontraremos’. Y esto no porque falte la gracia divina o porque falte el verdadero deseo, la voluntad, sino porque ese Dios, que ‘todos llevamos’, es sólo el que nosotros mismos ‘hacemos’: el que fabricamos movidos por nuestro deseo.15

In a more positive vein, Bernard Sesé refers to Machado’s three aspects of God as ‘una especie de Trinidad original, compuesta de una parte de cada ser humano, de su esfuerzo creador, de su búsqueda espiritual’.16
After what has been said about Machado’s pantheistic conception of reality, it should be evident that the phrase ‘el Dios que todos llevamos’ is a reference to the divine spark that each person carries in the depths of his or her soul. ‘Luz del alma, luz divina’, Machado has written in another poem (CXXXVI, li, OPP, 223). In an interview he has stated that ‘Todos llevamos un poco de Dios en el corazón’, and Juan de Mairena also defines God as ‘el padre de todos, cuya impronta... llevamos todos en el alma’ (OPP, 435).

The second part of Machado’s Trinity—‘el Dios que todos hacemos’—is another indication that, since we are all part of God, we ‘create’ Him through our own existence. And, as the poet’s brother has stated with regard to the previous poem, this phrase also has the connotation that, when we attempt to purify our being, we awaken the God within.

The final member of Machado’s Trinity—‘el Dios que todos buscamos / y nunca encontraremos’—show us his way, not of denying God’s existence, but of stating simply that His infinite being can never be encompassed by His finite creatures. God can be experienced in the sense that His presence can be felt intuitively, or ‘en sueños’ as Machado has often put it metaphorically; but God can never be ‘found’ in the sense that the part can never contain the whole. José María Gonzalez Ruiz has expressed this idea succinctly: ‘A pesar de la asimilación que de Dios hace el hombre, Dios sigue trascendiendo; Dios no puede ser agotado jamás por ninguna búsqueda humana. Aún más, destino de la fe es “buscar siempre a Dios sin encontrarlo jamás”. Aquí “encontrar” tiene claramente el sentido de posesión intelectual absoluta’.

The use of capitalization, or the lack of it, as well as the etymological meaning of the word ‘persona’ which is mask, gives us the key to what Machado wishes to say in the last two lines of the poem. When the word ‘dios’ is not capitalized, it means that what is described is something less than ‘el solo Dios verdadero’. Therefore when Machado refers to the members of his Trinity as ‘tres dioses’, he acknowledges that none of these ideas corresponds to the divine being in an absolute sense. And when he refers to them as ‘tres personas’, he also implies that they constitute three ways of thinking which, like the veil of maya, actually hide the true reality which is behind them. Again he implies that any attempt to conceptualize the Divine is doomed to failure, because of the limits of human thought.

It is obvious from this that God is indeed a reality for the poet—to deny his belief would be to remove the basis of his entire metaphysical thought—but God’s absolute being can only be apprehended indirectly, that is, intuitively or non-rationally. Thus, the true meaning of the poem is not a negation of the Divinity nor of the poet’s religious faith, but rather an affirmation of God’s existence, and of our inability to possess Him within the limits of our finite understanding.

Like all serious thinkers, Machado must have had his moments of doubt and skepticism; but what some critics have considered his lack of belief was actually the profession of a different set of beliefs, coupled with the recognition of our inability to find rational proof of God’s existence. This inability to know with certainty is indeed frustrating; we would all rather have proof of such things as the existence of God, the meaning of life, of life after death, etc. But Machado saw clearly, as some of his critics have not, that the lack of rational proof is not an indication of non-existence, nor of unreality. In a poem from
‘Proverbios y cantares’ he has given us an ironic description of the typical rational nihilist, which could also be a description of those who have denied his faith:

\begin{quote}
El Hombre es por natura la bestia paradójica,
un animal absurdo que necesita lógica.
Creó de nada un mundo y, su obra terminada,
‘Ya estoy en el secreto—se dijo—, todo es nada’ (CXXXVI, xvi, OPP, 215).
\end{quote}

Man is an absurd creature who accepts only the empty abstractions of reason and then makes the discovery that ‘everything is nothingness’. It is obvious from his satirical description of those who act in this way that Machado is far from satisfied by this negative approach.

Perhaps this is why, in two other poems from ‘Proverbios y cantares’, he encourages the pessimistic thinker who has seen only nothingness:

\begin{quote}
¿Dices que nada se crea?
No te importe, con el barro
de la tierra haz una copa
para que beba tu hermano. (CXXXVI, xxxvii, OPP, 220)

¿Dices que nada se crea?
Alfarero, a tus cacharos.
Haz tu copa y no te importe
si no puede hacer barro. (CXXXVI, xxxviii, OPP, 220)
\end{quote}

A positive answer to life’s questions may not be a certainty, but as Machado has stated in one of his letters to Unamuno: ‘Una fe negativa es también absurda’ (OPP, 1016).

For a final indication of the poet’s religious convictions, we can turn again to the words of his brother José, which serve as a conclusion to our discussion of his profession of faith. At the end of a section of his book entitled ‘Su verdadera religiosidad’, José declares:

\begin{quote}
Así fue que Antonio buscó a Dios con Dios mismo y al que nunca pudieron convencer ni apologistas ni teólogos ni predicadores... Si a esto se añade el esfuerzo de toda su vida en encontrar a Dios en la naturaleza, veremos que es un verdadero ejemplo de religiosidad \textit{activa} y que lo mismo en este aspecto, como en el de la poesía, deja abiertos caminos de infinita trascendencia para los demás.\textsuperscript{20}
\end{quote}

In spite of the difficulties caused by the paradoxical nature of his poetic language, we have found that it is indeed possible to arrive at a clear understanding of Machado’s religious and philosophical beliefs. With the help of personal testimonials such as those of his brother, and using as a point of departure the pantheistic philosophy which Machado learned as a young man, we have been able to conclude that his religious faith, although unorthodox, was nonetheless authentic. By bringing forth these points, I hope to have contributed to a better understanding of the poet’s ‘verdadera religiosidad’, so that his writings can indeed serve, in the words of his brother, as ‘paths of infinite transcendence’ for those who follow.
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